PACS numbers: 98.70.Rz,11.30.Cp,98.80.Qc,14.70.Bh

An exposition on Friedmann Cosmology with Negative Energy Densities

Robert J. Nemiroff and Ravi Joshi
Department of Physics, Michigan Technological University, 1400 Townsend Drive, Houghton, MI 49931

Bijunath R. Patla*
National Institute of Standards and Technology, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305

arXiv:1402.4522v1 [astro-ph.CO] 18 Feb 2014

How would negative energy density affect a classic Friedmann cosmology? Although never measured and possibly unphysical, certain realizations of quantum field theories leaves the door open for such a possibility. In this paper we analyze the evolution of a universe comprising varying amounts of negative energy forms. Negative energy components have negative normalized energy densities, $\Omega < 0$. They include negative phantom energy with an equation of state parameter $w < -1$, negative cosmological constant: $w = -1$, negative domain walls: $w = -2/3$, negative cosmic strings: $w = -1/3$, negative mass: $w = 0$, negative radiation: $w = 1/3$ and negative ultralight: $w > 1/3$. Assuming that such energy forms generate pressure like perfect fluids, the attractive or repulsive nature of negative energy components are reviewed. The Friedmann equation is satisfied only when negative energy forms are coupled to a greater magnitude of positive energy forms or positive curvature. We show that the solutions exhibit cyclic evolution with bounces and turnovers.The future and fate of such universes in terms of curvature, temperature, acceleration, and energy density are reviewed. The end states are dubbed Big Crunch, Big Void, or Big Rip and further qualified as "Warped","Curved", or"Flat","Hot" versus "Cold", "Accelerating" versus "Decelerating" versus "Coasting". A universe that ends by contracting to zero energy density is termed "Big Poof." Which contracting universes "bounce" in expansion and which expanding universes "turnover" into contraction are also reviewed.

I. THE FRIEDMANN EQUATION OF ENERGY, EXPANDED TO NEGATIVE ENERGIES

The classic Friedmann equation of energy is typically written in the form [1, 2]

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{k}{R^2}, \tag{1}$$

where H is the Hubble parameter, G is the gravitational constant, ρ is the energy density, R is a scale factor of the universe, and k is a dimensionless constant related to the curvature of the universe. The speed of light c is set to unity. The Hubble parameter $H = \dot{R}/R = \dot{a}/a$, where a is the dimensionless scale factor of the universe such that $a = R/R_0$ and R_0 is the scale factor of the universe at some canonical time t_0.

In [3] (hereafter Paper I), the average energy density ρ was explicitly expanded into all possible stable *positive* energy forms, including phantom energy, cosmological constant, domain walls, cosmic strings, compact matter, radiation, and hypothetical energy forms collectively dubbed ultralight. These "stable" energy forms are considered different than energy fields such as scalar fields in that each has a well defined local form and evolves in the universe as an constant power of a. All energy forms evolve as an integer power of the scale factor a.

In this paper, we consider stable energy forms with *negative* energy density, $\rho < 0$. To the best of our knowledge, some of these energy forms have never been discussed explicitly. It is not being suggested that any of these energy forms are presently important in the universe, but their theoretical inclusion presents cosmologically interesting scenarios. A universe comprising mostly of negative energy density component will perhaps violate various energy conditions of general relativity, and may even violate the second law of thermodynamics [4]. But some extensions of quantum field theory and recent studies of Cassimir force experiments indicate that energy conditions in relativity need only be satisfied on a global scale, or on an average measure, leaving the possibility of violation of the very same energy conditions locally or for a small period of time [5**?** –12]. Therefore, as an example, if some physical process that might have lasted only for a very short time compared to the age of the physical universe (and violate relativistic energy conditions) could still play a role in the evolution of the universe by virtue of producing small amounts of negative energy components in the past that could become relevant at a later time in the evolution of such universes.

Following Paper I, the total energy density ρ can be expanded into its component stable forms such that

$$\rho = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_n^+ \, a^{-n} + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_m^- \, a^{-m}, \tag{2}$$

where ρ_n^+ is the usual positive energy density typically considered and ρ_m^- is the negative cosmological energy

* bijunath.patla@nist.gov

density. In general, in this work, the subscript n and the (sometimes redundant) superscript + will refer to a positive energy density, while the subscript m and superscript − will refer to a negative energy density. Note that the values of ρ_n^+ and ρ_m^- remain fixed to their values at $a = 1$ and do not change as the universe evolves—hence their designation as "stable".

As usual, a normalizing (positive) critical density ρ_c is defined such that $\rho_c = 3H^2/(8\pi G)$ so that $\Omega = \rho/\rho_c$. Dividing both sides of Eq. (2) by ρ_c at $a = 1$, we obtain

$$\Omega = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega_n^+ \, a^{-n} + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega_m^- \, a^{-m}. \tag{3}$$

Following above convention, also used in Paper I, Ω depends on a and hence the time t. Note that Ω is different from Ω_{total}, the sum of all of the stable Ω_n^+ and Ω_m^- values, where

$$\Omega_{total} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega_n^+ + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega_m^-. \tag{4}$$

The curvature term in Eq. (1) can be written in terms of more familiar quantities. We divide Eq. (1) by H^2 to obtain

$$\frac{kc^2}{H^2R^2} = \Omega - 1. \tag{5}$$

Given this nomenclature, a more generalized Friedmann equation of energy can be written in a dimensionless form that explicitly incorporates all possible stable, static, isotropic energy forms described by an integer n. Using $H = \dot{a}/a$ in Equation (1) and dividing each side by the square of the Hubble parameter H_0^2 (at $a = 1$), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{H_0}\right)^2 = (1 - \Omega) + \sum_{n,m=-\infty}^{\infty} (\Omega_n^+ \, a^{2-n} + \Omega_m^- \, a^{2-m}). \tag{6}$$

Note that Eq.(6) can be written to highlight only present day observables by substituting $1/a = R_0/R = (1 + z)/(1 + z_0) = (1 + z)$, where z is the redshift and $z_0 = 0$ is the redshift at the epoch where $a = 1$.

II. DESCRIPTION OF NEGATIVE ENERGY COMPONENTS BASED ON PRESSURE AND EQUATION OF STATE PARAMETER

The Friedmann equations typically quantify the cosmological evolution of perfect fluids. Perfect fluids are characterized by only two variables: energy density ρ and isotropic pressure P. These two variables can be isolated in an effective modified Poisson equation for gravity from general relativity in the weak field limit so that[1, 2]

$$\nabla^2\phi = 4\pi G(\rho + 3P/c^2), \tag{7}$$

where ϕ is the Newtonian potential in the limit of weak field gravity. The gravitational influence of pressure has no Newtonian analogue.

In general, the equation of state of a perfect fluid is given by $w = P/(\rho c^2)$. Local conservation of the energy-momentum tensor implies that $\rho \propto a^{-3(1+w)}$, where $n = 3(w+1)$ and $w = n/3-1$. Locally conserved perfect fluids will be assumed by default for the rest of this paper.

Positive energy densities with $w < 0$ ($n < 3$), typically referred to as forms of "dark energy", have formally negative gravitational pressure which corresponds to repulsion of a like energy. For positive points of energy when $n = 3$ and so $w = 0$, there is no gravitational pressure. Positive energy densities with $w > 0$ ($n > 3$), here referred to as "light energy", have formally positive gravitational pressure which corresponds to an attraction of a like energy.

Conversely, *negative* energy densities that have $w < 0$ ($n < 3$), here also referred to as forms of "dark energy", have formally *positive* gravitational pressure which corresponds to attraction of a like energy. For negative points of energy when $n = 3$ and so $w = 0$, there is no gravitational pressure. Negative energy densities with $w > 0$ ($n > 3$), also here referred to as "light energy", have formally negative gravitational pressure which corresponds to a repulsion of a like energy.

Two specific cases will be considered here, the first of which will be that with zero curvature ("flat"), where a single stable negative energy form is coupled with a positive energy form. The Friedmann equation in this case is

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{H_o^2} = \Omega_n^+ a^{2-n} + \Omega_m^- a^{2-m}, \tag{8}$$

where $\Omega_n^+ > 0$ and $\Omega_m^- < 0$ are the normalized values of positive and negative energy densities. The flatness condition demands that $\Omega_n + \Omega_m = 1$. The solution to Eq.(8) is

$$t - t_o = \frac{1}{H_o} \int_{a_o}^{a} \frac{da}{\sqrt{\Omega_n^+ a^{2-n} + \Omega_m^- a^{2-m}}}, \tag{9}$$

where a_o is the value of the scale factor at time t_o and $\Omega_n a^{2-n} + \Omega_m a^{2-m} > 0$.

The second case considered here will be a single stable type of negative energy alone in the universe coupled only with the positive curvature it creates. The Friedmann equation in this case is

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{H_o^2} = (1 - \Omega_m) + \Omega_m a^{2-m}, \tag{10}$$

where by definition $\Omega_m < 0$. Written in integral form

$$t - t_o = \frac{1}{H_o} \int_{a_o}^{a} \frac{da}{\sqrt{(1 - \Omega_m) + \Omega_m a^{2-m}}}, \tag{11}$$

where $(1 - \Omega_m) + \Omega_m a^{2-m} > 0$.

III. FRIEDMANN EQUATION FOR STABLE NEGATIVE ENERGY FORMS

Energy can take any number of stable forms, and is usually known by what form it takes. With respect to the Friedmann Equations, forms of energy can be classified by how they affect the universe gravitationally. For example, energy forms represented by integer values of n and corresponding equation of state parameter w for positive energy density is given in Table I. It is assumed that

w	n	Dimensional Type	Name
< -1	< 0	Unknown	Phantom Energy
-1	0	Volume	Cosmological Constant
$-2/3$	1	Sheet	Domain Wall
$-1/3$	2	Line	Cosmic String
0	3	Point	Matter
$1/3$	4	Relativistic Point	Radiation
$> 1/3$	> 4	Unknown	Ultralight

TABLE I. Energy forms that may drive the expansion rate of the universe as described by the Friedmann Equations.The entries include known and hypothesized energy forms that are thought to be stable perfect fluids over cosmological scales

all stable energy forms remain stable with a density directly extrapolated from the density at $a = 1$. Although $a = 1$ could describe any epoch, here it will typically describe the present. Many energy terms used below have precedents and are in common use.

Although no closed form solutions exist for Eq.(6) in general, analytical solutions involving hypergeometric functions exist for particular cases: a) single energy type corresponding to positive and negative energy densities existing in a flat universe ($\Omega_n^+ + \Omega_m^- = 1$) and b) a single negative energy type in a curved universe ($\Omega_m^- - 1 \neq 0$).

A. Solutions for a single positive and negative energy component in a flat universe

A universe that consists of only a positive and a negative energy density type satisfies Eq.(8), with the solution

$$H_0 t(a) = \frac{2}{n}\left(\frac{a^n}{\Omega_n^+}\right)^{1/2} {}_2F_1\left(1/2, p, p+1, q\right) \tag{12}$$

where

$$p \equiv \frac{n}{2(n-m)}, \quad q \equiv -\frac{\Omega_m^-}{\Omega_n^+} a^{n-m} \geq 0. \tag{13}$$

Generally, the validity of the solution corresponds to values of $p \geq 0$. Negative values of p are allowed, but the hypergeometric function has a series of line singularities along the negative axis. The physical interpretation of singularities point toward cyclic universes resulting from a bounce following a period of contraction or 2) turnaround following an expansion.

$$p > 0 \implies \begin{cases} n > m, & n > 0 \\ n < m, & n < 0. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

$p = 0$ when $n = 0$, and when $n = m$, $p \to \infty$.

p	q	${}_2F_1(1/2, p, p+1, q)$
∞	∞	0
∞	0	1
0	0	1
0	∞	1
$p \to -1$	0	1
$p \to -1$	∞	∞

TABLE II. The value of p as defined in Eq.(12) determines the allowed combination of positive and negative energy types. The hypergeometric function has a singularity at $p = -1$. The values of q depend on the scale factor in an expanding or contracting universe. ${}_2F_1$ has a series of singularities after $p \leq -1$.

As a particular case, for $|q| < 1$, that is, when $a \sim 1$ and $|\Omega_m^-| < |\Omega_n^+|$

$${}_2F_1\left(1/2, p, p+1, q\right) = \sum_0^\infty \frac{(1/2)_j (p)_j}{(p+1)_j} \frac{q^j}{j!} \tag{15}$$

where

$$(x)_j = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 0 \\ \prod_{i=1}^{j} (x + j - 1) & j > 0. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Ignoring higher order terms in q, Eq.(12) takes the form

$$t = H_0^{-1} \frac{2}{n}\left(\frac{a^n}{\Omega_n^+}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\Omega_m^-}{\Omega_n^+}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\frac{a^{n-m}}{3n-2m}\right). \tag{17}$$

B. Solution for a negative energy component in a curved universe

The solution for the Friedmann equation involving a negative energy density component coupled to curvature, Eq.(10), is

$$H_0 t(a) = \left(\frac{a^2}{1-\Omega_m^-}\right)^{1/2} {}_2F_1\left(1/2, p_k, p_k+1, q_k\right) \tag{18}$$

where

$$p_k \equiv \frac{1}{2-m}, \quad q_k \equiv -\frac{a^{2-m}}{1-\Omega_m} \leq 0. \tag{19}$$

The solutions in this category without a bounce correspond to values of $m < 3$, which are -1, 0, 1 and 2. We note that only numerical solutions exist for many component curved universe given by Eq.(6).



FIG. 1. The value of the hypergeometric function has a series of singularities along the negative x-axis for values of p, q is a function of m and the scale factor a. Note that if $a = 0$ then there are no singularities and the function is independent of m and n. This suggests that the universes that bounce or turns around does so before a becomes zero.

C. Possible Futures for Universes

An evolving Friedmann universe may be described in terms of its ultimate fate, curvature, temperature, and energy density as the scale factor a approaches its maximal value, by fully analyzing Eq.(6).

First, the fate of each universe will be analyzed. In other words, what becomes of scale factor a? An expanding universe can either expand forever, meaning that scale factor a going to infinity is formally allowed by the Friedmann equations, or "turnover", meaning that a maximum a_{max} will be reached, after which the universe will collapse. Similarly, a collapsing universe can contract to a point, meaning that scale factor a going to zero is formally allowed by the Friedmann equations, or "bounce", meaning that a minimum a_{min} will be reached, after which the universe will expand.

Next, the temperature of the universe will be characterized. Here temperature will be considered directly related to energy density. If the positive energy density term $\Omega_n a^{-n}$ diverges as a goes to either zero or infinity, then this universe will be deemed to have a "Hot" ending. Conversely if this positive energy density term goes to zero as a goes to either zero or infinity, then this universe will be deemed to have a "Cold" ending. If the positive energy density ends up at a finite value, then this universe will be deemed to have a "Warm" ending.

Next, the kinematics of the universe will be characterized. In other words, what happens to the expansion speed $\dot{a}$ of a universe? If $\dot{a}^2$ diverges as a goes to either zero or infinity, then this universe will be deemed to have an "Accelerating" ending. If $\dot{a}^2$ drops to zero as a goes to either zero or infinity, then this universe will be deemed to have a "Decelerating" ending. If $\dot{a}^2$ ends with a constant value, then this universe will be deemed to be "Coasting" as its end.

Alternatively, if the universe ends with a going to infinity but the energy density decreasing to zero then this universe ending will be deemed a "Big Void". This term is here preferred over the previously popular "Big Freeze" because it is more descriptive of the end state, as the end temperature of the universe has already been characterized. If a goes to infinity but the energy density remains constant, then this universe ending will be deemed to result in a "Steady State". If a universe ends with a going to infinity but the energy density also diverges, possibly in a finite time, this universe ending will be deemed a "Big Rip".

The end states of contracting universes will also be classified. If the universe ends with a going to zero but the energy density diverges, this universe ending will be deemed a "Big Crunch". If the universe ends with a going to zero but the energy density remains constant, then this universe will (again) approach a "Steady State". And finally if the universe ends with a going to zero and the energy density also drops to zero, this universe ending will be deemed a "Big Poof". To the best of our knowledge, "Big Poof" universes have not been discussed previously. The criteria adopted to describe the future of universes that are expanding or contracting is summarized in Table III.

To describe the initial condition of a universe, the term "Big Bang" will be extended to all universes that start with $a = 0$ even if the energy density started with a finite or formally zero value. However, were the universe to start from a finite value of a, which might have followed a contraction phase, this universe will be deemed to have started from a "Bounce."

Below, we analyze single negative energy component coupled with a single positive energy component in a flat or curved universe. As we have shown in section III, single component flat universes comprising a single negative and positive energy density component, and curved universe coupled to a negative energy density component have analytical solutions. In the following sections we discuss asymptotic behavior and as well as the nature of the solutions near critical points, which correspond to values of scale factors that are a local extremum for these solutions.

Negative energy component alone will not satisfy the Friedmann equation—resulting in purely imaginary solutions. Combinations of various energy components (both positive and negative) and curvature satisfy the Friedmann equation. We will elaborate on the numerical so-

Universe	n	$\Omega_n a^{-n}$	End State	Temperature	n	$\dot{a} \propto \Omega_n a^{2-n}$	Kinematics
Expanding	$n > 0$	~ 0	Big Void	Cold	$n > 2$	~ 0	Decelerating
Expanding	$n < 0$	$\sim \infty$	Big Rip	Hot	$n < 2$	$\sim \infty$	Accelerating
Expand/Contract	$n = 0$	constant	Steady State	Warm	$n = 2$	constant	Coasting
Contracting	$n > 0$	$\sim \infty$	Big Crunch	Hot	$n > 2$	$\sim \infty$	Accelerating
Contracting	$n < 0$	~ 0	Big Poof	Cold	$n < 2$	~ 0	Decelerating

TABLE III. Possible fates of universes—characterized by "appropriate" description for end state and temperature based on normalized energy density ($\Omega_n a^{-n}$), and kinematics based on the rate of scale factor ($\Omega_n a^{2-n}$).

lutions while discussing scenarios that include the effects of negative energy densities that may be negligible at



FIG. 2. As a prelude to providing numerical solutions to Eq. (6), wherever appropriate, we verify it with the known energy composition of the observable universe. Note that it gives a very familiar age for the universe corresponding to the value of zero scale factor. A de-Sitter universe and a matter dominated universe is given for comparison.

IV. NEGATIVE PHANTOM ENERGY: $m < 0$

Forms of energy with $n < 0$ or $m < 0$, and hence $w < -1$, are known as phantom energies [3, 13]. Phantom energies could permeate all of space. The existence of any form of phantom energy is controversial as it may violate General Relativistic energy conditions [3].

Negative phantom energy would have $\rho < 0$ so that the gravitational energy density would be repulsive. However, since $w < -1$, this positive energy density is necessarily coupled to a gravitational pressure that is attractive and, from Eq.(7), three times stronger. Therefore, on the whole, phantom energy would gravitationally attract itself.

A. Negative Phantom Energy $m = -1$ in a Flat Universe

We will formally consider only a case of a negative phantom energy where $m = -1$ so that $w = -4/3$, but the following arguments can be extrapolated conceptually to all negative phantom energy terms. For a negative phantom energy component coexisting with a single positive stable energy component, the Friedmann equation becomes

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{H_o}\right)^2 = \Omega_n^+ a^{2-n} + \Omega_{-1}^- a^3, \tag{20}$$

where $\Omega_n^+ > 0$ and $\Omega_{-1}^- < 0$.

For such a flat expanding universe where $m = -1$ and $n < -1$, as a increases, the magnitude of this first positive energy term will eventually dwarf the magnitude of the second negative energy term, which has exponent 3. Soon thereafter, the positive energy term will effectively become the only energy in the universe. Therefore, $\dot{a}$ increases as a increases. Note also that the entire energy density of this universe, $\Omega_n^+ a^{-n}$, increases as a increases, a hallmark of phantom energy. In general, an infinite energy density will be reached in a finite time, so that, based on Table III, this universe ends with a Flat Hot Accelerating Big Rip.

A flat expanding universe with $n = -1$ and $m = -1$, since $\dot{a}$ must remain positive, will always be dominated by positive energy. This solution is not without some quirks. For example, a will diverge for a finite value of time

$$t_{Rip} = t_o - \frac{2}{H_o a_o^{1/2}}. \tag{21}$$

When $n > -1$ then the positive repulsive energy grows more slowly with a than does the negative attractive energy. Therefore at some point the magnitude of the two stable energy forms becomes equal. This occurs when $\Omega_n^+ a^{-n} + \Omega_{-1}^- a^1 = 0$, yielding,

$$a_{crit} = \left(\frac{\Omega_{-1}^-}{-\Omega_n^+}\right)^{1/(n-1)}. \quad (22)$$

After the universe reaches this a_{crit} which is now a maximum, it stops expanding, "turns over", and starts contracting. We note that similar condition can be imposed on other cases for various values of m detailed in the following sections.

Being time reversible, the Friedmann equation applies equally to contracting universes as it does to expanding universes. When $n < -1$ and $m = -1$, the positive energy term delineated by Ω_n^+ shrinks in magnitude as the universe contracts. This positive term will eventually become equal in magnitude to the repulsive $m = -1$ negative energy term. This will occur at precisely the a_{crit} shown above in Eq. (22), but now we should consider this a minimum value because at this scale factor, the universe will then "bounce" and become an expanding universe. Although this may be somewhat counterintuitive as the remaining negative phantom energy is attractive, no Friedmann solutions for value of a less than this minimum value may exist.

Some cases of contracting universes where the negative energy grows faster than the positive energy are of particular interest because they can only be limited – not ruled out. Were *any* amount of stable negative phantom energy with $m \leq -1$ to co-exist in the universe with positive energy that has $n < m$, then this negative phantom energy – even if completely undetectable by present means – could cause this collapsing universe to bounce.

When $n = m = -1$, since $\Omega_n + \Omega_m = 1$, if a contracting universe remains dominated by the repulsive positive phantom energy, net positive phantom energy density decreases as the scale factor a decreases. Note that this can occur as a time-reversed version of $n = m = -1$ expanding universe ending in a Flat Hot Accelerating Big Rip. Either way, such a contracting universe will asymptotically approach zero scale factor and zero energy density over an infinite time interval. This universe will end in a Flat Cold Decelerating Big Poof. Similar analysis predict a "Warm Decelerating Steady State" to "Hot accelerating Big Crunch" for a range of values of n starting from 0 through 5. The end states corresponding to different combinations of n and m for expanding and contracting universes are summarized in Tables IV and V.

B. Negative Phantom Energy in a Curved Universe

For a universe containing a significant amount of negative phantom energy and the curvature it creates, the Friedmann Equation is

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{H_o}\right)^2 = (1 - \Omega_m) + \Omega_m a^{2-m}. \quad (23)$$

Since by definition $\Omega_m < 0$, the first term on the right hand side, the curvature term, is necessarily positive and so is referred to as positive curvature. In contrast, the second term, the energy density term, will always be negative. Since for phantom energy $m < 0$, the negative energy term will increase in magnitude relative to the positive curvature term as the universe expands (a becomes larger). When their magnitudes are equal we have

$$a_{crit} = \left(\frac{\Omega_m - 1}{\Omega_m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-m}}. \quad (24)$$

At a_{crit}, this universe "turns over" and at later times begins to contract.

When a curved universe containing only negative phantom energy is contracting, the magnitude of the negative energy term is decreasing with a (and t) faster than the magnitude of the curvature term. Since this negative energy term always has to have a magnitude of less than the positive curvature term, there will be no time when the two terms are equal. In fact, as time marches on and a decreases, the negative phantom energy term formally drops toward zero with a. The magnitude of the curvature continues to increase as this universe contracts. The contraction speed will become constant, however. Therefore, left unchecked, the universe thus ends in a "Warped Hot Coasting Big Crunch". No analytical solutions exist for mixed component curved universes. Numerical estimation of the scale factor for a curved universe with both positive and negative phantom energy is shown in figure 3.

The end states corresponding to different values of m for expanding and contracting universes are summarized in Table VI.

V. VOLUME ENERGY: A NEGATIVE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT: $m = 0$

A negative cosmological constant has $m = 0$ ($w = -1$) energy with gravitationally attractive pressure. Although an $m = 0$ component has a negative energy density that is gravitationally repulsive, Eq.(7) shows that the pressure is positive and three times greater in magnitude. Therefore the net effect of negative stable cosmological constant energy on itself is attractive.

Suppose that $m = 0$ negative energy could somehow be completely contained inside non-interacting boxes of constant size. Would these boxes still cosmologically evolve as $w = -1$? The answer is no. As the universe expands, each box would still contain the same amount of $w = -1$ energy, but the energy density between the boxes would be zero. As the boxes became further apart, the number density of boxes would decrease like $w = 0$ so that all



FIG. 3. Numerical solution: For a curved universe dominated by both positive and negative phantom energy.

of the boxes together would evolve as $w = 0$. Similarly, if a small box containing negative cosmological constant energy was dropped near the Earth, it would fall just as if the box were filled with a small amount of negative $w = 0$ particles (up). This behavior is one realization of the equivalence principle. It is not possible for pervasive $w = -1$ energy to move with respect to the universe. Conversely, it is also not possible for particles of $w = 0$ matter to move with respect to pervasive $w = -1$ energy. Therefore, the motion of $w = 0$ matter in a universe with $w = -1$ energy will yield no drag or accelerative aberration force.

As with any negative energy form, a negative cosmological constant energy cannot by itself dominate the Friedmann equation because it contributes a negative term to a sum that must be positive. If coupled with other energy forms or positive curvature, however, negative cosmological constant energy is formally allowed in the Friedmann equation, however, and can even have a large effect.

A. Negative Cosmological Constant in a Flat Universe

An expanding universe in which the $m = 0$ negative energy density is coupled with a positive energy that has $n < 0$, the positive energy $\Omega_n^+ a^{-n}$ term, the positive phantom energy density, grows in magnitude with a relative to the magnitude of the negative cosmological constant energy. This positive energy term will eventually dwarf the negative energy Ω_0^- term, become effectively the only energy in the universe, and this universe will end in a Flat Hot Accelerating Big Rip.

When $n = m = 0$, the universe ends in "Warm Accelerating Steady State," also known as the De Sitter Universe.For $n > 0$ the universe turns over. This occurs when $\Omega_n^+ a^{-n} + \Omega_0^- = 0$. After this universe attains a maximum value for a, it must stop expanding. The universe therefore must stop expanding, "turns over", and start contracting.The end states corresponding to other combinations of n and m are summarized in Table IV.

When $m = 0$ negative cosmological constant energy shares the universe with (only) a $n < 0$ the positive (phantom) energy, the positive phantom energy term shrinks in magnitude as the universe contracts. For $n < 0$, this contracting universe evolves to "bounce" before becoming an expanding universe. $n = 0$ yields a "Warm Decelerating Steady State." The end states corresponding to other combinations of n and m are summarized in Table V.

B. Negative Cosmological Constant in a Curved Universe

An expanding universe with $m = 0$ the curvature term will start decreasing while the negative cosmological constant energy will remain constant. Therefore, at some a and t they will be equal in magnitude. At a_{crit} the expansion rate drops to zero, larger a values cannot exist, and so the universe "turns over" and begins to contract. The end states corresponding to different values of m for expanding and contracting universes are summarized in Tables VI

VI. SHEET ENERGY: NEGATIVE DOMAIN WALLS: $m = 1$

As discussed in detail in Paper I and references therein, a fundamental type of energy which evolves as $n = 1$ ($w = 2/3$) has energy confined to thin sheets. These sheets do not change their surface energy density as the universe either expands or contracts, and the simplest case has all points on the sheet always at rest with respect to the background radiations of the universe at those points. Such a sheet of stable energy is fundamentally different than a plane of atoms and other forms of matter and is called a domain wall.

If a person (point particle) were to go up to a flat, static, cosmological domain wall of negative energy, that person would feel gravitational attraction toward it. This is the opposite of the gravitational repulsion a person would feel toward a positive energy domain wall.

As reviewed in Paper I and references therein, it is conceivable that domain walls, positive or negative, could be wrapped around to form closed domain balls. Were a

small box containing a negative energy domain ball were dropped near the Earth, it would be repelled as if the box were filled with any small amount of negative energy $m = 3$ ($w = 0$) negative particles. That is one aspect of the equivalence principle.

Similarly, were any domain wall energy sheet were rolled up into a static, straight, hollow tube so that the radius of the tube is small compared to the gravitational horizon, but the length of the tube is long compared to the gravitational horizon, the resulting domain tube would act like cosmological $n = 2$ ($w = -1/3$) "string" matter, regardless of whether is was composed of positive or negative energy.

As with a positive energy domain wall, a negative energy domain wall moving perpendicular to its plane, relative to a standard of rest determined by the isotropy of background radiations, will increase n (and w) so that $1 < n < 2$ and ($-2/3 < w < -1/3$). When moving relativistically, the value of n (w) of a domain wall will approach $n \sim 2$ ($w \sim -1/3$).

As with all forms of negative energy, negative domain wall energy cannot by itself dominate the Friedmann equation because it contributes a negative term, whereas the Friedmann positivity requirement holds that the sum of terms must be positive. Even so, such a form is formally allowed in the Friedmann equation, however, and can have a large effect. We will formally consider a case of static domain wall energy where $m = 1$ so that $w = -2/3$.

A. Negative Domain Walls in a Flat Universe

In an expanding universe with $m = 1$ and $n < 0$, the positive energy density increases as the universe expands so rapidly this universe will eventually end in a "Flat Hot Accelerating Big Rip." For $n = 0$, the positive energy density remains constant as the universe expands so that this universe will eventually end in a "Flat Warm Accelerating Steady State." For $n > 1$, at $a = a_{crit}$ this universe turns over and starts contracting..

For a contracting universe, as a decreases, the magnitude of the positive energy density decreases relative to the negative $m = 1$ negative domain wall energy. Eventually the $n < 1$ positive energy will become equal to the magnitude of the $m = 1$ negative energy domain walls, at which time the universe stops with $\dot{a}$ going to zero. Smaller a values correspond to imaginary solutions and are, therefore, ruled out. Therefore, this universe will "bounce" and will subsequently expand. The end states of $m = 1$ universes for different values of n are given in Tables IV and V for expanding and contracting cases respectively.

B. Negative Domain Walls in a Curved Universe

In order for the solutions to be real, curvature must start out larger than the $m = 1$ negative domain wall energy density. However, since a is increasing with time in an expanding universe, the $m = 1$ negative domain wall energy density will eventually grow equal in magnitude with the positive curvature for a particular value of $a = a_{crit}$. At this a_{crit} the expansion rate drops to zero, the universe "turns over" and begins to contract.

As an $m = 1$ negative domain wall dominated universe contracts, however, the positive curvature term increases faster than the negative domain wall energy density term, so that the negative domain wall term will eventually dropping to insignificance. The end states are described in Table VI.

VII. LINE ENERGY: NEGATIVE COSMIC STRINGS: $m = 2$

As discussed in detail in Paper I and references therein, a fundamental type of energy which evolves as $n = 2$ ($w = -1/3$) in the Friedmann equations has energy confined to thin lines. These lines do not change their energy density as the universe either expands or contracts, and the simplest case has all points on the line always at rest with respect to the background radiations of the universe. Such a line of stable energy is fundamentally different than a line of atoms or other forms of matter and is called a cosmic string.

A negative cosmic string has $m = 2$ ($w = -1/3$) energy with gravitationally attractive pressure. Although the density of $m = 1$ energy is negative, and is gravitationally repulsive, Eq.(7) shows that the attractive pressure is equal in magnitude. If a person were to go up to a flat, static, cosmic string of negative energy, that person would feel neither gravitational attraction toward it nor repulsion away from it. This is similar to the effect a person would feel toward a positive energy cosmic string.

As mentioned in Paper I, it is conceivable that cosmic strings could be curved around to form closed cosmic loops. Conversely to the behavior described in Paper I, however, were a small box containing a negative energy cosmic loop were dropped near the Earth, it would be repelled as if the box were filled with any small amount of negative energy $n = 3$ ($w = 0$) negative particles. That is one aspect of the equivalence principle.

As with positive energy cosmic strings, a negative energy cosmic string moving perpendicular to their length will increase m (and w) so that $2 < m < 3$ ($-1/3 < w < 0$). When moving relativistically perpendicular to its length, the value of m (w) of a cosmic string approach $m \sim 2$ ($w \sim 0$).

A. Negative Cosmic Strings in a Flat Universe

For $n < 0$ the positive energy density increases as the universe expands so rapidly this universe will eventually end in a Flat Hot Accelerating Big Rip. For $n = 0$, the positive energy density remains constant as the universe expands so that this universe will eventually end in a Flat Warm Accelerating Steady State. For $0 < n < 2$ the positive energy density will decrease as the universe expands so that the universe will eventually end in a Flat Cold Accelerating Big Void.

where $a = 0$ when $t = 0$. This universe also continues to expand and, left unchecked, will end in a Flat Cold Coasting Big Void.

When $m = 2$ negative cosmic string energy is combined with positive $n > 2$ energy, then the positive repulsive energy grows more slowly with increasing a than does the negative $m = 2$ cosmic string energy. Therefore at some point ($a = a_{crit}$) the magnitude of the two stable energy forms will become equal. Therefore, after this universe reaches this a_{crit}, it stops expanding, "turns over", and starts contracting.

For a contracting universe, when $n < 2$, the universe ends in a bounce and when $n = m = 2$, a contracting universe remains dominated by the positive cosmic string energy. The negative energy becomes increasingly irrelevant, and the two energy forms never become equal. This universe continues to contract, and, left unchecked, will end in a Flat Hot Coasting Big Crunch. The end states of single component universes are given in Tables IV and V.

B. Negative Cosmic Strings in a Curved Universe

An expanding universe of this type, for $m < 2$ the universe turns over and a curved $m \geq 2$ universe will end in a Curved Cold Coasting Big Poof. A contracting universe with $m \leq 2$ ends in a Hot Coasting Big Crunch and a curved $m > 2$ universe will end with a bounce, see Table VI.

VIII. POINT ENERGY: NEGATIVE MATTER: $m = 3$

As discussed in Paper I in more detail than given here, a fundamental type of energy which evolves as $n = 3$ ($w = 0$) has energy confined to regions small compared to the gravitational horizon size. These points do not change in energy as the universe either expands or contracts, as fundamental particles like the electron do not change their energy (mass) as the universe expands. Such stable points of energy are called matter and are fundamentally different than other forms of energy. In Paper I only positive energy points were considered, while in this section only negative energy points will be considered.

A negative point mass, as indicated by $w = 0$, has no gravitational pressure. A summary of how $w = 0$ negative energy interacts with other forms of energy is given in Table 1. Were a person were to go up to a negative point mass, that person would feel gravitational repulsion from it. This is opposite of the gravitational repulsion a person would feel toward a positive point mass. Were negative point masses to be confined to a box and dropped on Earth, this box would "fall" up. This is one aspect of the equivalence principle.

As with positive energy point masses, a negative energy point mass moving with relativistic velocities with respect to background energies will increase n (and w) so that $3 < n < 4$ ($0 < w < 1/3$). When moving very near the speed of light, the value of n (w) of a point mass will approach $n \sim 4$ ($w \sim 1/3$).

A. Negative Point Masses in a Flat Universe

In an expanding universe with $n < 0$, the energy density and expansion speed diverge as a reaches a finite value, so the universe ends in a Flat Hot Accelerating Big Rip. If $n = 0$ then the energy density goes to a constant but expansion speed diverges as a goes to infinity, leading to a Flat Warm Accelerating Steady State.

When $n > 3$ then this positive energy grows more slowly with increasing a than does the negative $m = 3$ point mass energy. Therefore, since the Friedmann positivity condition demanded that the positive energy density start out greater than the negative energy density, then at some point the magnitude of the two stable energy forms become equal. After the universe reaches this a_{crit}, it stops expanding, "turns over", and starts contracting.

In a contracting universe with negative $m = 3$ point energy, the positive energy density term delineated by Ω_n^+ shrinks in magnitude relative to the negative point energy density as the universe contracts. This positive energy term, which must always exceed the negative energy term according to satisfy the Friedmann equation, will eventually become equal in magnitude to the $m = 3$ negative energy term. After which this universe will "bounce" and become an expanding universe. When $n > 3$, the positive energy grows more rapidly with shrinking a than does the negative point mass energy. The negative energy becomes increasingly irrelevant, and the two energy forms never become equal. Therefore, both energy density and collapse rate increase as a goes to zero and this universe ends in a Flat Hot Accelerating Big Crunch. The end states for expanding and contracting universes are summarized in Tables IV and V respectively.

B. Negative Point Masses in a Curved Universe

Since a is increasing with time in an expanding universe the curvature term will increase in magnitude rel-

ative to the energy term. As a goes to infinity, both the positive curvature term and the negative energy density term drop to zero. As a diverges, however, the expansion speed drops to a constant rate where $\dot{a} = H_o(1-\Omega_3^-)^{1/2}$. This universe therefore ends in a Flat Cold Coasting Big Poof.

For a contracting universe, the negative point mass term will grow and eventually rival the positive curvature term. At some a they will be equal. After reaching a_{crit} the universe will "bounce", stop contracting, and start expanding. Table VI summarizes the end states for different values of m.

IX. NEGATIVE RADIATION (RELATIVISTIC MATTER): $m = 4$

As discussed in Paper I in more detail than given here, a fundamental type of energy that evolves as $w = 1/3$ ($n = 4$) in the Friedmann equations has energy confined not only in all three spatial dimensions but moving relativistically with respect to its local rest frame in the universe. This energy form is known as radiation. The most familiar example is electromagnetic radiation, but other commonly discussed examples include relativistic neutrinos[32].

Theoretically, any $w = 0$ particle could be accelerated to near the theoretical speed limit of the speed of light and subsequently act as effective $w = 1/3$ radiation in the cosmological sense of the Friedmann equations. Also, $w = 1/3$ radiation components can be made from any energy form that can be spatially confined in three dimensions and boosted to a relativistic speed.

The gravitational pressure of negative $w = 1/3$ radiation is repulsive. Because of gravitational pressure, negative radiation gravitationally repels twice as strongly as negative $w = 0$ matter energy with the same average energy density.

Suppose all negative energy radiation could be completely contained inside static non-interacting boxes. Would it still cosmologically evolve as $w = 1/3$ negative radiation? The answer is no. As the universe expands, each box would still contain the same amount of radiation energy as measured inside each box. There is no impetus for the trapped radiation to lose energy as the universe expands. The energy density between the boxes would remain zero. Therefore, the number density of the boxes would decrease as the universe expands as the number of spatial dimensions $n = 3$. All of the boxes together would therefore evolve as $w = 0$ particle energy.

If a small box containing negative radiation were dropped near the Earth, it would rise according to the equivalence principle as if the box were filled with negative $w = 0$ energy.

A. Negative Radiation in a Flat Universe

When $n \leq 4$, the positive energy Ω_n^+ term grows in relative magnitude as the universe expands. This term will eventually dwarf the attractive nature of the negative energy Ω_4^- term, become effectively the only energy in the universe. This universe ends in a Flat Hot Accelerating Big Rip for $n = -1$; steady state ending for $n = 0$ and Big Void scenarios for $1 \geq n \leq 4$ as given in Table IV

When $n > 4$, positive energy grows more slowly with increasing a than does the negative $m = 4$ radiation energy. After the universe reaches this a_{crit}, it stops expanding, "turns over", and starts contracting.

For a contracting universe, when $n < 4$, the positive energy term shrinks in relative magnitude as the universe contracts. This positive term will eventually become equal in magnitude to the attractive $m = 4$ negative energy term. This will occur at precisely the a_{min} and the universe will then "bounce" and become an expanding universe. When $n \geq 4$ then the positive energy grows more rapidly with shrinking a than does the negative radiation energy. The negative energy becomes increasingly irrelevant, and the two energy forms never become equal. Both the energy density and the contraction speed continue to increase as a goes to zero. Therefore this universe (also) ends in a Flat Hot Accelerating Big Crunch. The end states for the contracting universes are summarized in Table V.

B. Negative Radiation in a Curved Universe

In an expanding universe, as a increases, the curvature and energy terms become increasingly different in magnitude, with the negative radiation energy term eventually dropping to insignificance. The universe ends in a Cold Coasting Big Poof, see Table VI.

As this universe contracts, both the positive curvature term and the negative radiation density terms diverge but the latter term diverges faster. At some a_{crit} the two terms will be equal and $\dot{a}$ will drop to zero. At a_{crit} the universe has its smallest a and "bounces", so that at later times the universe expands. Table VI summarizes the end states corresponding to various values of m.

X. NEGATIVE ULTRALIGHT ENERGY; $m > 4$

Stable negative energy forms with $w > 1/3$ ($n > 4$), discussed recently for stable positive energy forms was referred to as ultralight [3]. The term ultralight energy contrasts with dark energy as being beyond light in terms of gravitational pressure. By analogy, ultralight is to light what ultraviolet light is to violet light.

The idea of energy evolving with an effective $w > 1/3$ has been hypothesized previously in the context of time-varying scalar fields (see for example [14–16]). However, the *stable* negative ultralight energy forms discussed in

this section are specifically constrained in their behavior to evolve *only* in accordance with the Friedmann equations are not scalar fields.

Forms of ultralight with $w > 1$ might be unphysical because their formal sound $c_s = c\sqrt{w}$ is greater than the speed of light. Only ultralight energy forms with $1/3 < w < 1$ would have a sound speed less than c.

All of the known stable energy forms and all of the energy forms we have described above have integer powers of $3w$ and n. The next in the linear integer progression after $n = 4$ radiation is $w = 2/3$ and hence $n = 5$ ultralight. This energy form would dilute cosmologically as a^5, also an integer power of a. Furthermore, $w = 2/3$ ultralight can be a stable perfect fluid because its sound speed c_s is neither imaginary nor greater than c. Therefore, the $w = 2/3$ ultralight energy form will be highlighted here as particularly interesting.

The gravitational pressure of $w > 1/3$ negative ultralight is repulsive, so that $w > 1/3$ negative ultralight, on cosmological scales, gravitates repulsively more strongly than any other form of energy. The case of $m = 5$ negative ultralight is discussed below but the same type of analysis applies to all types of ultralight energies.

Were a person to interact with negative ultralight that person would feel gravitational repulsion from it. This is opposite of the gravitational repulsion a person would feel toward a positive ultralight.

A. Negative $m = 5$ Ultralight in a Flat Universe

The end states of an expanding universe with $m = 5$ and $n < 6$ is summarized in Table IV. For a contracting universe where $m = 5$ negative ultralight energy is coupled with positive energy with $n < 5$, the positive energy density shrinks in magnitude compared to the negative energy density as the universe contracts. This universe will "bounce" and become an expanding universe after the scale factor attains a critical value. The end states of contracting universes are summarized in Table V.

B. Negative $m = 5$ Ultralight in a Curved Universe

If negative $m = 5$ ultralight energy is allowed to exist alone in a universe with only the positive curvature it creates, this universe will end in a Cold Coasting Big Poof. For a contracting universes. As this universe contracts, however, both the positive curvature term and the negative $m = 5$ ultralight energy density terms diverge, but the positive ultralight term diverges faster. At a critical value of a the two terms will be equal and $\dot{a}$ will drop to zero and so the universe "bounces", only to start expanding soon after.Table VI summarizes the end states corresponding to various values of m.

The latest data from observations suggest that the universe is flat [17]. So it is instructive to consider a simple two component universe containing a positive and negative density energy type. Using the analysis of previous sections, the results for an expanding and contracting universe is given in Tables IV and V respectively.

XI. ON THE GRAVITATIONAL INTERACTION BETWEEN A POSITIVE AND A NEGATIVE ENERGY SPECIES

As an example, consider $n = 2$ and $m = 0$. Here the positive $n = 2$ energy is gravitationally neutral and will neither attract nor repel other $n = 2$ energies. The $m = 0$ negative energy, on the other hand, will gravitationally attract itself. Even if it is unclear whether the two energy forms attract or repel each other, it is not necessary to know this to solve for the evolutionary equations for this universe. As this universe expands, the prominence of the attractive $m = 0$ energy increases until it matches the energy density the neutral $n = 2$ energy.

A perhaps less-intuitive example is when positive $n = 3$ energy shares the universe with (only) negative $m = 2$. Here the $n = 3$ positive energy gravitationally attracts itself, while the $m = 2$ negative energy is gravitationally neutral toward itself. As this universe expands, the prominence of the neutral $m = 2$ energy increases until it matches the energy density of the attractive $n = 3$ energy. One might consider that the internal attractiveness of the universe decreases as it expands and the role of the gravitationally neutral negative energy becomes more important. Nevertheless, the deceleration of the universe might be considered as already set and sufficient to cause a turnover from expansion to contraction. An analogy is to consider the classic case of a $n = 3$ ball thrown into the air on the surface of the Earth. The ball loses kinetic energy, analogous here to $\dot{a}$, as it rises, but its deceleration is sufficient to cause it to "turnover" at the top of its orbit and return to Earth.

As discussed in detail in Paper I, it is possible for stable forms of energy to change into each other, and this applies to stable negative forms as well as stable positive forms. Rapid changes could occur, for example, as decay of an $n = 3$ form into other $n = 3$ form and $n = 4$ forms, an example of which is nuclear beta decay among stable positive energy forms[18]. An example of a slow change between stable negative energy forms would be the redshifting of negative radiation as it moved through the universe, where is slowly changes from $n = 4$ energy to $n = 3$ energy.

XII. SUMMARY: THE PAST AND FUTURE OF THE UNIVERSE WITH STABLE NEGATIVE ENERGY FORMS

We know that our present universe is composed predominantly of positive energy and is expanding[17, 19]. Would it be possible for some stable negative energy form

of negligible cosmological density in the present epoch but could grow to dominate and determine the future of the universe? The only way this could happen would be if some stable negative energy form with lower n and w than the current dominant stable energy form. Since the dominant energy form is currently thought to be $n = 0$ ($w = -1$) dark energy, only a form of negative phantom energy could fill such a role.

Were any amount of stable negative phantom energy to exist in our universe, it would grow as the universe expands and eventually begin to dominate the universe. Since negative phantom energy is attractive such a component could stop the expansion of the universe and propel it into a contraction. Even though the effect of this negative phantom energy would diminish and eventually become negligible, the universe would continue to contract, possibly ending in a Big Crunch. Since this hypothetical stable negative phantom energy is undetectably small at present, it is really not possible to know with certainty that it does not exist, and therefore it is impossible to know the true future of our universe for this scenario.

Alternatively, would it be possible for some stable negative energy form of negligible cosmological density now but may have been significant in past of our observable universe? One realization of this scenario would involve some stable negative energy form with higher n and w than the current stable positive energy form. We know that our universe has some positive radiation and in at least two early epochs in the history of the universe, positive radiation was the cosmologically dominant energy form. Another realization demands a presence of stable negative ultralight in the current epoch. Negative ultralight energy would be gravitationally repulsive. Therefore it is possible that a previously contracting universe contained such negative ultralight, and this negative ultralight stopped the contraction and started an expansion. Once the universe started expanding, this negative ultralight would again dissipate and again dilute to undetectable density. Therefore, since it is not possible to confirm the existence of negative ultralight, it is not possible to know the true past of our universe for this scenario – perhaps it started in a Big Bang or perhaps it underwent a Big Bounce.

Our universe could be an oscillating universe were it to contain even minuscule and undetectable amounts of both negative phantom energy and negative ultralight energy. As a becomes large, the negative phantom energy could suddenly begin to dominate and cause the universe to turnover and start contracting. This phantom energy would then again become effectively undetectable. As the universe contracts and its scale factor a becomes small enough, the small amount of negative ultralight energy would suddenly dominate universe and, being repulsive, cause the universe to bounce. As the universe expands once again, the density of ultralight would again drop to undetectability and the cycle could repeat. Currently, it seems this type of universe cannot be ruled out theoretically[16].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

BP appreciates the help and support from Julian Varghese and Sandeep Ramachandran during the time of writing this paper.

[1] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley & Sons, 1972).
[2] J. A. Peacock, *Cosmological Physics* (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
[3] R. J. Nemiroff and B. R. Patla, Am. J. Phys. **76**, 265 (2008).
[4] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of spacetime* (University of Chicago Press, 1973).
[5] H. Epstein, V. Glaser, and A. Jaffe, Nuovo Cimento **36**, 1016 (1965).
[6] T. A. Roman, Phys. Rev. D **33** (1986).
[7] L. H. Ford and T. A. Roman, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996).
[8] M. J. Pfenning and L. H. Ford, arXiv:gr-qc/9805037v1 (1998).
[9] A. D. Helfer, Class. Quant. Grav. **15** (1998).
[10] M. Visser and C. Barcelo, arXiv:gr-qc/0001099v1 (2000).
[11] N. Graham and K. D. Olum, Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003).
[12] C. J. Fewster, arXiv:1208.5399v1 (2012).
[13] R. R. Cladwell, M. kamionkowski, and N. N. Weinberg, PRL **91**, 071301 (2003).
[14] A. H. Guth and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 1899 (1985).
[15] V. Sahni, Classical and Quantum Gravity **19**, 3435 (2002), astro-ph/0202076.
[16] P. J. Steinhardt, Royal Society of London Philosophical Transactions
[17] D Spergel et al., ApJ Suppl. **148**, 175 (2003).
[18] J. N. Bahcall, Phys. Rev. **124**, 495 (1961).
[19] S. Perlmutter, G. Aldering, G. Goldhaber, R. A. Knop, P. Nugent, P. G. Castro, S. Deustua, S. Fabbro, A. Goobar, D. E. Groom, I. M. Hook, A. G. Kim, M. Y. Kim, J. C. Lee, N. J. Nunes, R. Pain, C. R. Pennypacker, R. Quimby, C. Lidman, R. S. Ellis, M. Irwin, R. G. McMahon, P. Ruiz-Lapuente, N. Walton, B. Schaefer, B. J. Boyle, A. V. Filippenko, T. Matheson, A. S. Fruchter, N. Panagia, H. J. M. Newberg, W. J. Couch, and Supernova Cosmology Project, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999), astro-ph/9812133.
[20] A G Riess et al., ApJ **627**, 579 (2005).
[21] G. R. Blumenthal, S. M. Faber, and J. R. Primack, Nature **311**, 517 (1984).
[22] M. Bucher and D. Spergel, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 043505 (1999).
[23] C B Netterfield et al., ApJ **571**, 604 (2001).
[24] R. L. Davis, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3705 (1987).
[25] A. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981).
[26] D. Huterer and M. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 123527 (2001).

[27] M. Kamionkowski and M. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 3310 (1990).
[28] T. P. Krisher, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **5**, 1809 (1990).
[29] K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 123505 (2006).
[30] C. H. Lineweaver, L. Tenorio, and P. Keegstra, ApJ **470**, 38L (1996).
[31] V. Mukhonav, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
[32] B. Patla and R. J. Nemiroff, Astrophys. J. **685**, 1297 (2008), arXiv:0711.4811.
[33] J. Peebles, *Principles of Physical Cosmology* (Princeton University Press, 1988).
[34] J. Preskill, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 4218 (1992).
[35] B. Spokoiny, Phys. Rev. D **315**, 40 (1993).
[36] P. J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 126003 (2002), hep-th/0111098.
[37] L. R. an dR Sundrum, PRL **83**, 4690 (1999).
[38] N. Turok, PRL **63**, 2625 (1989).
[39] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 852 (1981).
[40] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 2082 (1981).
[41] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **443**, 85 (1995).
[42] I. Zlatev, L. Wang, and P. J. Steinhardt, PRL **82**, 896 (1999).

m \ n	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5
-1	Hot Accelerating Big Rip	turns over	turns over	turns over	turns over	turns over	turns over
0	Hot Accelerating Big Rip	Warm Accelerating Steady State	turns over	turns over	turns over	turns over	turns over
1	Hot Accelerating Big Rip	Warm Accelerating Steady State	Cold Accelerating Big Void.	turns over	turns over	turns over	turns over
2	Hot Accelerating Big Rip	Warm Accelerating Steady State	Cold Accelerating Big Void	Cold Coasting Big Void.	turns over	turns over	turns over
3	Hot Accelerating Big Rip	Warm Accelerating Steady State	Cold Accelerating Big Void	Cold Coasting Big Void	Cold Decelerating Big Void	turns over	turns over
4	Hot Accelerating Big Rip	Warm Accelerating Steady State	Cold Accelerating Big Void	Cold Coasting Big Void	Cold Decelerating Big Void	Cold Decelerating Big Void	turns over
5	Hot Accelerating Big Rip	Warm Accelerating Steady State	Cold Accelerating Big Void	Cold Coasting Big Void	Cold Decelerating Big Void	Cold Decelerating Big Void	Cold Decelerating Big Void

TABLE IV. How do two stable energy forms interact to influence the fate of a flat expanding Universe.

m \ n	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5
-1	Cold Decelerating Big Poof	Warm Decelerating Steady State	Hot Decelerating Big Crunch	Hot Coasting Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch
0	bounce	Warm Decelerating Steady State	Hot Decelerating Big Crunch	Hot Coasting Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch
1	bounce	bounce	Hot Decelerating Big Crunch	Hot Coasting Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch
2	bounce	bounce	bounce	Hot Coasting Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch
3	bounce	bounce	bounce	bounce	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch
4	bounce	bounce	bounce	bounce	bounce	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch
5	bounce	bounce	bounce	bounce	bounce	bounce	Hot Accelerating Big Crunch

TABLE V. How do two stable energy forms interact to influence the fate of a flat contracting universe.

Universe	m	description
Expanding	$m < 2$	turns over
	$m \geq 2$	Cold Coasting Big Poof
Contracting	$m \leq 2$	Hot Coasting Big Crunch
	$m > 2$	bounce

TABLE VI. How do two stable energy forms interact to influence the fate of a curved universe.